ography is to tand eye along e of sight. I life.

the man, t

ATI

A RETROSPECTIVE



i Cartier-Bresson

Rem tibi quam nosces aptam dimittere noli; Fronte capillata, post est occasio calva. Disticha Catonis, II, 26

ere is nothing in this world that does not have its decisive moment'

Cardinal de Retz

Kairos: The Idea of the Decisive Moment in the Work of Cartier-Bresson Jean Clair Musée Picasso, Paris

What the ancient Greeks meant by the word *kairos* was what we today would probably call something like 'the right time'. It was a way of describing the opportune moment, the appropriate opportunity, the right occasion; a point, therefore, on a temporal scale, coming to mean 'time' itself in modern Greek.

Back in the archaic period, however, it was used to refer to a place, a critical position in space rather than time. In Homer, for example, *kairos* meant a nerve centre in the body, a crucial point, a vulnerable spot that could sometimes spell the difference between life and death.

In the many battle songs of the *Iliad*, there are several passages in which Athena, goddess of reason, intervenes on the hero's behalf by deflecting the path of the dart, arrow or spear that threatens him at the very last moment. She diverts it – in space – away from the critical spot, vulnerable place or *kairos* of the body, the chink in the armour that allows death to enter.

The original spatial definition of *kairos* relates to the eye's ability, back in a period of antiquity still governed by empiricism and largely ignorant of the art of measurement, to discriminate intuitively, to make a correct choice, to pierce exactly the right spot.

This ability, the clear-sightedness of a person who 'has a good eye', we might describe as *perspicacity* (to borrow from the Latin *perspicere*). Such a power of visual discrimination, such an ability to distinguish a particular point, anticipates what would later, with the development of modern geometry in the fourteenth century, become the art of perspective, a particular method of organizing space around a chosen point. A person with a 'good eye' possesses the ability to find the critical point around which the world organizes itself.

But this power of discrimination may be other things as well: it may be the doctor's power to discover, by means of palpation, the precise spot in the body, the lesion, weakness, or fissure, at which sickness entered. The doctor's skill resides in his ability to discern whether the wound is serious or not. *Kairos*, then, within the organism, is the essential and precise position of the wound, the body's vulnerable spot. Similarly, for the hunter, this power of discrimination lies in his skill at shooting an arrow accurately amid a tangle of undergrowth so that it hits its mark. For the helmsman, it is the art of detecting the way through a hazardous channel. And so on.

ethical framework and body of knowledge that are those of the ancient world. Implicit in the act is one of the most fertile and illuminating concepts of ancient philosophy. Its performance brings into play intellectual frameworks without which neither Greek tragedy, nor Plato, nor the Parthenon would ever have seen the light of day.

It seems that the photographer, armed with his small Leica, is none other than a reincarnation of the thinker at the dawn of our culture who established the very foundations of Western thought armed simply with a tablet and a stylus and guided very often by nothing more than his own sensibility and a few rare ideas of algebra and aesthetics.

When Henri Cartier-Bresson writes that a photograph, for him, is 'the simultaneous recognition in a fraction of a second of the significance of an event as well as of a precise organization of forms,' he is actually spelling out a rule of etiquette. To discover the 'decisive moment' is effectively the same thing as to arrive 'at precisely the correct time', neither too early nor too late; in other words, a rule of ethical and social behaviour on which an exact consensus can be reached with contemporaries. It is a question of being in tune with the times (and of being better at it than anyone else) like tuning an instrument before playing. By inference, it is also a rule of aesthetics, incorporating concepts of beauty, the measure or equilibrium of things and the *kairos* capable of apprehending them in an instant. Cartier-Bresson is saying the same thing as Plutarch in his *Moralia*: 'In all works of art, beauty is, so to speak, the product of a large quantity of numbers that achieve a single *kairos* by means of a system of proportion and harmony.'

Kairos exists in the blink of the photographer's eye, it is the phenomenon of hitting the mark in space and of hitting it at precisely the right time. Up it pops, at the exact dazzling moment when the screen of the world seems to open up, tear apart, yawn or gape before you, only to close up again immediately afterwards. It is, to introduce a semi-religious concept, the propitious moment, the moment when the gods smile upon you. It is seizing chance on the wing. It is the unreflecting gesture that lands exactly where it is supposed to – the winged gesture. In Homer there are many references to 'winged words'. The comparison is with arrows: mots justes are words that carry, words that are accurately aimed. The photographer anticipates just such a successful flight when he asks you to 'watch the birdie'. In the blink of his eye he unleashes something like one of Homer's winged words, something that hits the mark, something light, quick and insubstantial. Almost like a shaft of wit. It is, if you like, Henri Cartier-Bresson at play, a sort of subversive Scarlet Pimpernel underneath his disguise as the perfect gentleman, the sober reporter of twentieth-century life. You can see what it was in him that made him brush with the Surrealists, admiring as he did their taste for the bizarre, for strange juxtapositions and the fantastic.

At the same time, it is what distinguishes him from them in that it interrupts the smooth logical flow, it is also the opposite of is the opposite of chance. *Kairos* is a correct decision, one that he when it should. It is on the side of necessity, not contingency, an ancient Greece along with *kallos*, 'beauty', and *summetria*, 'harmon the harmony of the parts in relation to each other and of the parts decisive point, is a point of equilibrium. It has nothing to do with the disrupting the phenomenological order.

That is the fundamental difference between Cartier-Bre has often been claimed that in his early work he was heavily in so-called 'objective' magic of chance. Another friend of the Surrea Jacques Lacan, developed a world view very similar to theirs. He cornerstones of his clinical practice, viewing it as the *encounter wire* re-emergence and persistence of signs under the pleasure princip doubt about, was because he was influenced by André Breton, an sort of primitive magic. Cartier-Bresson is a different case altogethings to chance. As an artist, he is in control of phenomena – sun movement of leaves or crowds – just as he is also in control of e he is able to predict them. *Kairos* is that control.

Thus the photographer is someone who remains alw succumbing to *acedia*, or 'nonchalance', even though he is surrou of the visual world, the unceasing and unpredictable bustle of even though the surrous of the visual world.

'Nonchalance' was the word Cartier-Bresson used to ac 'what does it matter to me?' or, in modern parlance, 'it's not my p of generalized indifference so common among our own contempt world does matter, it matters at every moment, he never lets his e like those holy men in the Far East who ensure the prayer whee ever to stop, the world would come to an end. The photographer is and the roll of film in his camera is his prayer wheel. He is the p preserves it and keeps it safe. It is because there are images of th before our eyes.

For he has the capacity to capture the precise moment the order of the logical universe, at the same time that they arrange



owledge that are those of the ancient world. Implicit in the act inating concepts of ancient philosophy. Its performance brings ithout which neither Greek tragedy, nor Plato, nor the Parthenon day.

grapher, armed with his small Leica, is none other than a dawn of our culture who established the very foundations of the tablet and a stylus and guided very often by nothing more rare ideas of algebra and aesthetics.

sson writes that a photograph, for him, is 'the simultaneous of the significance of an event as well as of a precise organization out a rule of etiquette. To discover the 'decisive moment' is ive 'at precisely the correct time', neither too early nor too late; I social behaviour on which an exact consensus can be reached on of being in tune with the times (and of being better at it than nent before playing. By inference, it is also a rule of aesthetics, the measure or equilibrium of things and the *kairos* capable of a Cartier-Bresson is saying the same thing as Plutarch in his is, so to speak, the product of a large quantity of numbers that f a system of proportion and harmony.'

of the photographer's eye, it is the phenomenon of hitting the ecisely the right time. Up it pops, at the exact dazzling moment is to open up, tear apart, yawn or gape before you, only to close is, to introduce a semi-religious concept, the *propitious* moment, upon you. It is seizing chance on the wing. It is the unreflecting is supposed to – the winged gesture. In Homer there are many e comparison is with arrows: *mots justes* are words that carry, the photographer anticipates just such a successful flight when In the blink of his eye he unleashes something like one of g that hits the mark, something light, quick and insubstantial. u like, Henri Cartier-Bresson at play, a sort of subversive Scarlet the as the perfect gentleman, the sober reporter of twentiethis in him that made him brush with the Surrealists, admiring as or strange juxtapositions and the fantastic.

At the same time, it is what distinguishes him from them. If *kairos* is the opposite of *logos*, in that it interrupts the smooth logical flow, it is also the opposite of *tuchè*. *Tuchè* is chance, and *kairos* is the opposite of chance. *Kairos* is a correct decision, one that has its effect where it should and when it should. It is on the side of necessity, not contingency, and that is why it was classed in ancient Greece along with *kallos*, 'beauty', and *summetria*, 'harmony', which for the ancients meant the harmony of the parts in relation to each other and of the parts within the whole. *Kairos*, the decisive point, is a point of equilibrium. It has nothing to do with the sudden intervention of chance, disrupting the phenomenological order.

That is the fundamental difference between Cartier-Bresson and his Surrealist friends. It has often been claimed that in his early work he was heavily influenced by Surrealism, and the so-called 'objective' magic of chance. Another friend of the Surrealists, the eminent psychoanalyst Jacques Lacan, developed a world view very similar to theirs. He made *tuchè*, or chance, one of the cornerstones of his clinical practice, viewing it as the *encounter with reality*, evidence of the return, re-emergence and persistence of signs under the pleasure principle.² But that, we should be in no doubt about, was because he was influenced by André Breton, and not because he believed in any sort of primitive magic. Cartier-Bresson is a different case altogether. He never, in my belief, left things to chance. As an artist, he is in control of phenomena – sunshine and shade, brightness, the movement of leaves or crowds – just as he is also in control of events, to the extent at least that he is able to predict them. *Kairos* is that control.

Thus the photographer is someone who remains always on the alert, without ever succumbing to *acedia*, or 'nonchalance', even though he is surrounded by the constant confusions of the visual world, the unceasing and unpredictable bustle of events.

'Nonchalance' was the word Cartier-Bresson used to accuse people whose attitude was 'what does it matter to me?' or, in modern parlance, 'it's not my problem': that routine expression of generalized indifference so common among our own contemporaries. For the photographer, the world does matter, it matters at every moment, he never lets his eyes stray from it. He is not unlike like those holy men in the Far East who ensure the prayer wheels are kept turning. If they were ever to stop, the world would come to an end. The photographer is the guardian of the visible world, and the roll of film in his camera is his prayer wheel. He is the physician of the visible world. He preserves it and keeps it safe. It is because there are images of the world that it continues to exist before our eyes.

For he has the capacity to capture the precise moment when events make sense within the order of the logical universe, at the same time that they arrange themselves harmoniously within the order of the physical universe. When *logos* and *cosmos* come together, that instant in which the order of the world releases a meaning, like a poem in celebration of the event, that is *kairos*.

That brief and intense moment when the world, so to say, reveals itself, before the waters roll back and chaos returns, when light and shade are perfectly in balance before they merge, in which form emerges from amid a confusion of forms – that moment the photographer, the patient observer of the world, is there to capture.

'Our eye should constantly measure, evaluate. We modify perspectives with a gentle flexing of the knees, we make lines meet simply by moving the head a fraction of a millimetre, but that can only be done with the speed of a reflex, so that it prevents us from trying to make Art.'

The photographer manoeuvring his camera, lining up his view of the world and determining the moment for his shot, is no more reliant on a science of photography than the hunter, the physician, the orator or the potter were reliant in their art, their *technè*, on the abstract rules of a universal science (destined one day to materialize as the rules of scientific perspective, for example, or dictates on colours from the French Academy). No, the photographer goes with the flow, he lets himself drift, while remaining always on the watch for the slightest thing that might happen.

In the *Republic*, Plato was the first to identify this inability of the human mind to apprehend, not the incidental, but the universal amid the endless confusions of the real world: 'The differences of men and their actions, and the endless irregular movements of human things, do not admit any universal and simple rule. And no art whatsoever can lay down a rule which will last for all time.'

Faced with the fleeting nature of the visible world, and unable to draw his inspiration from the canons of any 'Art', the photographer, who deals with the relative and not the absolute, with incident and not substance, resorts to a sort of pre-scientific approach. This form of subliminal recognition has 'the speed of a reflex', as Henri Cartier-Bresson puts it, which, if directed towards the currents of the real world – borne along like a barque on the waters – is the same as that associated with the *kairos* of antiquity.

This type of mercurial, or perhaps hermetic, intelligence is effectively of the same order as the archer's skill with his bow, his ability to fire his arrow without reflection, with all his being mobilized and directed towards his goal. It permits self adaptation to the constantly shifting and unstable nature of things by a necessary and continuous flexibility. In this way it is able to operate in an infinite variety of circumstances.

The type of interruption discussed above, that leap of the sensibility associated with *kairos* as it breaks through the prevailing *logos*, is exactly the same as what Cartier-Bresson – that

light-footed Hermes (god not only of commerce but of robbers) – so and violation: 'I walked the whole day on tenterhooks, searching the photos, as it were, in flagrante delicto.'

But even in his criminality, that thief of reality, the photographecause he is faced with the problem of a reality that cannot be reunderstanding (or because no universal body of knowledge can be contact the man of the real world par excellence that is the photographener, relies instead on that infinitely subtle understanding deployed but by all those who practise hunting, war or navigation: not rational the instinctive recognition of the decisive moment that is *kairos*. The helmsman, the cab driver – all possess a singular flair, a cunning interform, that Jean-Pierre Vernant, not without justification, equates with to logic nor to academic art, and capable only of being applied to the reof the world.

The photographer's eye, like the physician's or the hunter's, is the infinite variety of shifting circumstances of the world one specific opportunities. The photographer deals with the particular case, equiversal concept. Among all the possible factors of reality, he recognized or *kairos*, when a particular image makes sense amid the chaos. *Kairos* particularizing, of prognosis and of cure. Like any great artist, the photohehelps us bear it and even love its burdens, because he isolates the past at in the making. He effects his intervention in the flow of time with it clicks the shutter, dividing time into two, with all the precision of balance.

His camera is his balance. Like a judge, he weighs up the work woman with her scales, weighing the motes of light that are her pear predicting in a millisecond what is about to happen next – which may of the government. 'The condensed form of thought that is the la Cartier-Bresson puts it so acutely, 'has a great power [which is] that of a we see, and that implies great responsibility.'

Human time is not homogenous time. It has its ups and do down. It is a time of ripening and of decay. And sometimes, rarely, a

When *logos* and *cosmos* come together, that instant in which the ing, like a poem in celebration of the event, that is *kairos*. ment when the world, so to say, reveals itself, before the waters light and shade are perfectly in balance before they merge, in onfusion of forms – that moment the photographer, the patient apture.

ly measure, evaluate. We modify perspectives with a gentle es meet simply by moving the head a fraction of a millimetre, speed of a reflex, so that it prevents us from trying to make Art.' euvring his camera, lining up his view of the world and shot, is no more reliant on a science of photography than the the potter were reliant in their art, their *technè*, on the abstract ed one day to materialize as the rules of scientific perspective, from the French Academy). No, the photographer goes with remaining always on the watch for the slightest thing that might

le first to identify this inability of the human mind to apprehend, amid the endless confusions of the real world: 'The differences ndless irregular movements of human things, do not admit any art whatsoever can lay down a rule which will last for all time.' ure of the visible world, and unable to draw his inspiration from rapher, who deals with the relative and not the absolute, with s to a sort of pre-scientific approach. This form of subliminal ex', as Henri Cartier-Bresson puts it, which, if directed towards orne along like a barque on the waters — is the same as that lity.

perhaps hermetic, intelligence is effectively of the same order is ability to fire his arrow without reflection, with all his being s goal. It permits self adaptation to the constantly shifting and ssary and continuous flexibility. In this way it is able to operate ces.

discussed above, that leap of the sensibility associated with railing *logos*, is exactly the same as what Cartier-Bresson – that

light-footed Hermes (god not only of commerce but of robbers) – so clearly equates with crime and violation: 'I walked the whole day on tenterhooks, searching the streets in order to snatch photos, as it were, in flagrante delicto.'

But even in his criminality, that thief of reality, the photographer, is also its judge. It is because he is faced with the problem of a reality that cannot be reduced to a universal rule of understanding (or because no universal body of knowledge can be congruent with the real world), that the man of the real world par excellence that is the photographer, and even more so the reporter, relies instead on that infinitely subtle understanding deployed not only by entomologists, but by all those who practise hunting, war or navigation: not rational cognition, not wise *logos*, but the instinctive recognition of the decisive moment that is *kairos*. The photographer, the potter, the helmsman, the cab driver – all possess a singular flair, a cunning intelligence, that *métis*, or hybrid form, that Jean-Pierre Vernant, not without justification, equates with *kairos*, susceptible neither to logic nor to academic art, and capable only of being applied to the multiple and protean realities of the world.

The photographer's eye, like the physician's or the hunter's, is capable of singling out from the infinite variety of shifting circumstances of the world one specific sequence of risks and opportunities. The photographer deals with the particular case, extrapolating from no law or universal concept. Among all the possible factors of reality, he recognizes the decisive moment, or *kairos*, when a particular image makes sense amid the chaos. *Kairos* is the art of predicting and particularizing, of prognosis and of cure. Like any great artist, the photographer cures us of real life, he helps us bear it and even love its burdens, because he isolates the point at which two timescales meet: the point of intersection between the maturation of the past and the burgeoning of a crisis in the making. He effects his intervention in the flow of time with infinite tact and accuracy. He clicks the shutter, dividing time into two, with all the precision of the beam lying level on its balance.

His camera is his balance. Like a judge, he weighs up the world, in the image of Vermeer's woman with her scales, weighing the motes of light that are her pearls. At times he is also a seer, predicting in a millisecond what is about to happen next – which may be the murder of a member of the government. 'The condensed form of thought that is the language of photography', as Cartier-Bresson puts it so acutely, 'has a great power [which is] that of a making a judgment on what we see, and that implies great responsibility.'

Human time is not homogenous time. It has its ups and downs. It speeds up and slows down. It is a time of ripening and of decay. And sometimes, rarely, a time of immobility, or a time

of catastrophe. Time is living. It is not the same as the time of machines, which is a time of repetition, that produces identical objects. Human time waits, hopes and finds. It is the time associated with the work of art, not the production line. *Kairos*, in this context, relates to man's intimate understanding of time, more to do with Mnemosyne, sweet memory, than with Chronos and his terrible scythe. It is because he remembers that he can predict, it is because he has seen that he can anticipate. A doctor should never forget that he is dealing with time when he attends a patient who is, as we say, 'chronically' ill. Nothing repeats itself. The photographer, the artist, they are the proof of it. The photographer never stands still, because he remembers. His mastery is not academic but 'chronic', or 'temporal', he is the master of time. Hence that meteoric sensibility of Cartier-Bresson. It is bringing the temporal back to the spatial sphere: pinning down the *kairos* of a moment, the weather at a particular moment of the day, in a particular place. Some of Cartier-Bresson's finest photos are studies of weather conditions, cloud lifting in India, evening shadows in Flanders. I understand why he was so fond of Bonnard.

Anyone who knows him, anyone who has ever seen him walking, light, airy, on the tips of his toes, anyone who has seen him taking photographs, his camera concealed in his palm, silent, invisible, will not be too surprised to discover in him a resemblance to the famous statue of Kairos by Lysippus, described in an epigram by Posidippus in the third century BC in which the following dialogue takes place between Kairos and a passer-by:

You, who are you?
I am Kairos, the master of the World!
Why do you run on tiptoe?
I am always moving on.
Why do you have winged feet?
Because I fly like the wind.
Why do you hold a blade in your right hand?
To remind men that I, Kairos, am sharper and swifter than anything.

Jean Clair is Director of the Musée Picasso, Paris

- 1. For the following account of *kairos*, we are indebted to Monique Trédé's *Kairos*. It 1992. Also recommended to any reader wishing to learn more about the subject are en psychothérapie', in *Médecines de l'âme*, Paris : Fayard, 1995, and the entry on 'La Pléiade, Gallimard, 1988, p. 1521.
- 2. Jacques Lacan, Le Séminaire, book XI, Paris, 1973, p. 53 onwards.

is not the same as the time of machines, which is a time of l objects. Human time waits, hopes and finds. It is the time of the production line. *Kairos*, in this context, relates to man's ore to do with Mnemosyne, sweet memory, than with Chronos se he remembers that he can predict, it is because he has seen ould never forget that he is dealing with time when he attends cally' ill. Nothing repeats itself. The photographer, the artist, grapher never stands still, because he remembers. His mastery nporal', he is the master of time. Hence that meteoric sensibility e temporal back to the spatial sphere: pinning down the *kairos* cular moment of the day, in a particular place. Some of Cartier-of weather conditions, cloud lifting in India, evening shadows vas so fond of Bonnard.

Inyone who has ever seen him walking, light, airy, on the tips making photographs, his camera concealed in his palm, silent, o discover in him a resemblance to the famous statue of Kairos make by Posidippus in the third century BC in which the following pas and a passer-by:

:he World!

eet?

os, am sharper and swifter than anything.

Jean Clair is Director of the Musée Picasso, Paris

1. For the following account of *kairos*, we are indebted to Monique Trédé's *Kairos*. *L'à-propos et l'occasion*, Paris : Klincksieck, 1992. Also recommended to any reader wishing to learn more about the subject are: Henri Ellenberger, 'La notion du *kairos* en psychothérapie', in *Médecines de l'âme*, Paris : Fayard, 1995, and the entry on '*Le moment opportun*', in *Les Présocratiques*, La Pléiade, Gallimard, 1988, p. 1521.

2. Jacques Lacan, Le Séminaire, book XI, Paris, 1973, p. 53 onwards.